

## On some classes of triple sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function-I

Ayhan Esi\*

\*Department of Basic Eng.Sci./Engineering Faculty, Malatya Turgut Ozal University, Türkiye

\*[asesi23@hotmail.com](mailto:asesi23@hotmail.com) and [ayhan.esi@ozal.edu.tr](mailto:ayhan.esi@ozal.edu.tr)

**Abstract** – In this conference paper, we introduce triple sequence spaces via Orlicz function and examine some properties of the resulting these spaces like as linear space, seminormed space and some inclusion relations.

**Keywords** – Pringsheim Limit, Triple Sequence Space, Convex Function, Continuous Function, Orlicz Function

### I. INTRODUCTION

Before we enter the motivation for this paper and the presentation of the main results we give some preliminaries.

Recall in [11] that an Orlicz function  $M$  is continuous, convex, nondecreasing function define for  $x > 0$  such that  $M(0) = 0$  and  $M(x) > 0$ . If convexity of Orlicz function is replaced by  $M(x + y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$  then this function is called the modulus function and characterized by Ruckle [16]. An Orlicz function  $M$  is said to satisfy  $\Delta_2$  – condition for all values  $u$ , if there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $M(2u) \leq KM(u)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ .

**Remark.** An Orlicz function satisfies the inequality  $M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x)$  for all  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$ .

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [10] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the sequence space

$$l_M = \left\{ (x_i) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_i|}{r}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } r > 0 \right\},$$

which is a Banach space normed by

$$\|(x_i)\| = \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_i|}{r}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The space  $l_M$  is closely related to the space  $l_p$ , which is an Orlicz sequence space with  $M(x) = |x|^p$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

In the later stage different Orlicz sequence spaces were introduced and studied by Tripathy and Mahanta [5], Esi [1 – 2], Esi and Et [3], Parashar and Choudhary [12] and many others.

By the convergence of a triple sequence we mean the convergence on the Pringsheim sense that is, a triple sequence  $x = (x_{ijl})$  has Pringsheim limit  $L$  (denoted by  $P - \lim x = L$ ) provided that given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_{ijl} - L| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $i, j, l > n$ , [4]. We shall write more briefly as " $P - convergent$ ". The initial works on triple sequences is found in Bromwich [14]. Later on it was studied by Hardy [8], Moricz [6], Moricz and Rhoades [7] and many others. Hardy [8] introduced the notion of regular convergence for double sequences.

The concept of paranormed sequences was studied by Nakano [9] and Simons [13] at the initial stage. Later on it was studied by many others.

The triple sequence  $x = (x_{ijl})$  is bounded if there exists a positive number  $M$  such that  $|x_{ijl}| < M$  for all  $i, j, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $l_{\infty}^3$  the space of all bounded triple sequences such that

$$\|x_{ijl}\|_{(\infty,3)} = \sup_{i,j,l} |x_{ijl}| < \infty.$$

Throughout the paper,  $w^3(X)$  denotes the spaces of all triple sequences in  $X$ , where  $(X, q)$  denotes a seminormed space, seminormed by  $q$ . The zero double sequence is denoted by  $\theta$  in  $X$ .

## II. DEFINITIONS AND BACKGROUND

Let  $P_s$  denotes the class of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ , those do not contain more than  $s$  elements and  $\{\phi_n\}$  represents a non-decreasing sequence of real numbers such that  $n\phi_{n+1} \leq (n+1)\phi_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The sequence space  $m(\phi)$  introduced by Sargent [15] is defined as follows:

$$m(\phi) = \left\{ x = (x_k) : \|x_k\|_{m(\phi)} = \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in P_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{i \in \sigma} |x_i| < \infty \right\}$$

and studied some of its properties and obtained its relationship with the space  $l_p$ .

A triple sequence space  $E$  is said to be solid or normal if  $(\alpha_{ijl}x_{ijl}) \in E$ , whenever  $(x_{ijl}) \in E$  for all triple sequences  $(\alpha_{ijl})$  of scalars such that  $|\alpha_{ijl}| \leq 1$  for all  $i, j, l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A triple sequence space  $E$  is said to be symmetric if  $(x_{ijl}) \in E$  implies  $(x_{\pi(i)\pi(j)\pi(l)}) \in E$ , where  $\pi$  is a permutation of the elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $K = \{(i_n, j_k, l_m) : n, k, m \in \mathbb{N}; i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \dots, j_1 < j_2 < j_3 < \dots \text{ and } l_1 < l_2 < l_3 < \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $E$  be a triple sequence space. A  $K$ -step space of  $E$  is a sequence space

$$\lambda_K^E = \{(x_{ijklm}) : (x_{ijl}) \in E\}.$$

A canonical pre-image of a sequence  $(x_{ijl}) \in E$  is a sequence  $(y_{ijl}) \in E$  defined as follows:

$$y_{ijl} = \begin{cases} x_{ijl}, & \text{if } (i, j, l) \in K \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

A canonical pre-image of a step space  $\lambda_K^E$  is a set of canonical pre-images of all elements in  $\lambda_K^E$ .

A triple sequence space  $E$  is said to be monotone if  $E$  contains the canonical pre-images of all its step spaces.

**Lemma.** A double sequence space  $E$  is solid implies  $E$  is monotone.

Let  $P_{stq}$  denotes the class of all subsets of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , those do not contain more than  $s \times t \times q$  elements. Throughout the paper  $\{\phi_{n,m,p}\}$  represent a non-decreasing triple sequence of real numbers such that  $n\phi_{n+1,m,p} \leq (n+1)\phi_{n,m,p}$  and  $m\phi_{n,m+1,p} \leq (m+1)\phi_{n,m,p}$  and  $p\phi_{n,m,p+1} \leq (p+1)\phi_{n,m,p}$ . In this paper we introduce the following triple sequence spaces: Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function and a  $p = (p_{ijl})$  be a bounded triple sequence of positive real numbers such that  $0 < H_o = \inf_{i,j,l} p_{ijl} \leq p_{ijl} \leq \sup_{i,j,l} p_{ijl} = H < \infty$ , then

$$l_\infty^3(M, q, p) = \left\{ x = (x_{ijl}) \in w^3(X) : \sup_{i,j,l} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty, \text{ for some } r > 0 \right\},$$

$$l_p^3(M, q) = \left\{ x = (x_{ijl}) \in w^3(X) : \sum_{i,j,l=1,1,1}^\infty \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty, \text{ for some } r > 0 \right\},$$

$$m^3(M, \phi, q, p) = \left\{ x = (x_{ijl}) \in w^3(X) : \sup_{s,t,q \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{stq}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s,t,q}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty, \text{ for some } r > 0 \right\}.$$

The following inequality will be used throughout the paper

$$|a_{ijl} + b_{ijl}|^{p_{ijl}} \leq \max(1, 2^{H-1})(|a_{ijl}|^{p_{ijl}} + |b_{ijl}|^{p_{ijl}})$$

where  $a_{ijl}$  and  $b_{ijl}$  are complex numbers and  $H = \sup_{i,j,l} p_{ijl} < \infty$ .

It is easy to see that the triple sequence space  $l_p^3(M, q)$  is a seminormed space, seminormed by

$$g((x_{ijl})) = \inf \left\{ r^{\frac{p_{ijl}}{J}} > 0 : \sum_{i,j,l=1,1,1}^{\infty} M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\}, \text{ where } J = \max(1, 2^{H-1}).$$

### III. RESULTS

In this section we continue to prove some results involving the triple sequence spaces  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p)$ ,  $l_p^3(M, q)$  and  $l_\infty^3(M, q, p)$  in this first part of this conference paper.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $M, M_1, M_2$  be Orlicz functions satisfying  $\Delta_2$  - condition. Then

(i)  $m^3(M_1, \phi, q, p) \subset m^3(M \circ M_1, \phi, q, p)$ ,

(ii)  $m^3(M_1, \phi, q, p) \cap m^3(M_2, \phi, q, p) \subset m^3(M_1 + M_2, \phi, q, p)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $(x_{ijl}) \in m^3(M_1, \phi, q, p)$ . Then there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{s,t,q \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{stq}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s,t,q}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M_1 \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty.$$

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $M(t) < \varepsilon$  for  $0 \leq t < \delta$ . Let  $y_{ij} = M_1 \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right)$  and for any  $\sigma \in P_{stq}$ , let

$$\sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} [M(y_{ijl})]^{p_{ijl}} = \sum_1 [M(y_{ijl})]^{p_{ijl}} + \sum_2 [M(y_{ijl})]^{p_{ijl}}$$

where the first summation is over  $y_{ijl} \leq \delta$  and the second is over  $y_{ijl} > \delta$ . By the remark we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 M(y_{ijl}) &\leq \max(1, [M(1)]^H) \sum_1 (y_{ijl})^{p_{ijl}} \leq \\ &\max(1, [M(2)]^H) \sum_1 (y_{ijl})^{p_{ijl}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

For  $y_{ij} > \delta$

$$y_{ijl} < y_{ijl} \delta^{-1} \leq 1 + y_{ijl} \delta^{-1},$$

since  $M$  is non-decreasing and convex, so

$$M(y_{ijl}) < M(1 + y_{ijl} \delta^{-1}) < \frac{1}{2} M(2) + \frac{1}{2} M(2 y_{ijl} \delta^{-1}).$$

Since  $M$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$  - condition, so

$$M(y_{ijl}) < \frac{K}{2} y_{ijl} \delta^{-1} M(2) + \frac{K}{2} y_{ijl} \delta^{-1} M(2) = K y_{ijl} \delta^{-1} M(2).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_2 [M(y_{ijl})]^{p_{ijl}} \leq \max(1, [K \delta^{-1} M(2)]^H) \sum_2 (y_{ijl})^{p_{ijl}}. \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.1) and (3.2) we have  $(x_{ijl}) \in m^3(M \circ M_1, \phi, q, p)$ . Thus  $m^3(M_1, \phi, q, p) \subset m^3(M \circ M_1, \phi, q, p)$ .

(ii) Let  $(x_{ijl}) \in m^3(M_1, \phi, q, p) \cap m^3(M_2, \phi, q, p)$ . Then there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{s,t,q \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{stq}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s,t,q}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M_1 \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{s,t,q \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{stq}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s,t,q}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M_2 \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty.$$

The rest of the proof follows from the equality

$$\sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ (M_1 + M_2) \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \\ & \max(1, 2^{H-1}) \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M_1 \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} + \\ & \max(1, 2^{H-1}) \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M_2 \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} . \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Taking  $M_1(x) = x$  in above theorem, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.7.** Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function satisfying  $\Delta_2$  - condition, then  $m^3(\phi, q, p) \subset m^3(M, \phi, q, p)$ .

From Theorem 3.4. and Corollary 3.7., we have:

**Corollary 3.8.** Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function satisfying  $\Delta_2$  - condition, then  $m^3(\phi, q, p) \subset m^3(M, \psi, q, p)$  if and only if  $\sup_{s,t,q} \frac{\phi_{s,t,q}}{\psi_{s,t,q}} < \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** The double space  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p)$  is solid and symmetric.

**Proof.** Let  $(x_{ijl}) \in m^3(M, \phi, q, p)$ . Then

$$\sup_{s,t,q \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{stq}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s,t,q}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Let  $(\lambda_{ij})$  be a double sequence of scalars with  $|\lambda_{ijl}| \leq 1$  for all  $i, j, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the result follows from (3.3) and the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{\lambda_{ijl} x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} \leq \\ & \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ |\lambda_{ijl}| M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} \quad (\text{by the Remark}) \\ & \leq \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} . \end{aligned}$$

The symmetricity of the space follows from the definition of the triple space  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p)$  and symmetric triple sequence space.

The following result follows from Theorem 3.9 and the Lemma.

**Corollary 3.10.** The triple space  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p)$  is monotone.

The proof of the following result is a routine work.

**Proposition 3.11.** The triple spaces  $l_p^3(M, q)$  and  $l_\infty^3(M, q, p)$  are solid and as such are monotone.

**Theorem 3.12.**  $l_p^3(M, q) \subset m^3(M, \phi, q, p) \subset l_\infty^3(M, q, p)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(x_{ijl}) \in l_p^3(M, q)$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{i,j,l=1,1,1}^\infty \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty, \text{ for some } r > 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $\{\phi_{n,m}\}$  is monotonic increasing, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\phi_{s,t,q}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} \leq \\ & \frac{1}{\phi_{1,1,1}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_{s,t,q \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{stq}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s,t,q}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty.$$

Thus  $(x_{ijl}) \in m^3(M, \phi, q, p)$ . Therefore  $l_p^3(M, q) \subset m^3(M, \phi, q, p)$ . Next let  $(x_{ijl}) \in m^3(M, \phi, q, p)$ . Then we have

$$\sup_{s,t,q \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{stq}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s,t,q}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \infty, \text{ for some } r > 0.$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{i,j,l \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\phi_{1,1,1}} \left[ M \left( q \left( \frac{x_{ijl}}{r} \right) \right) \right]^{p_{ijl}} < \\ & \infty, \text{ for some } r > 0 \text{ (on taking cardinality of } \sigma \text{ to be 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(x_{ijl}) \in l_\infty^3(M, q, p)$ . Hence  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p) \subset l_\infty^3(M, q, p)$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.13.(i)**  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p) = l_p^3(M, q)$  if and only if  $\sup_{s,t,q \geq 1} \phi_{s,t,q} < \infty$ .

**(ii)**  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p) = l_\infty^3(M, q, p)$  if and only if  $\sup_{s,t,q \geq 1} \frac{stq}{\phi_{s,t,q}} < \infty$ .

**Proof.(i)** It is clear that  $m^3(M, \psi, q, p) = l_p^3(M, q)$  when  $\psi_{s,t,q} = 1$  for all  $s, t, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 3.4.,  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p) \subset m^3(M, \psi, q, p)$  if and only if  $\sup_{s,t} \frac{\phi_{s,t,q}}{\psi_{s,t,q}} < \infty$  i.e.  $\sup_{s,t,q} \phi_{s,t,q} < \infty$ . By Theorem 3.11.,  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p) = l_p^3(M, q)$  if and only if  $\sup_{s,t,q} \phi_{s,t,q} < \infty$ .

**(ii)** We have  $m^3(M, \psi, q, p) = l_\infty^3(M, q)$  if  $\psi_{s,t,q} = stq$  for all  $s, t, q \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 3.4. and Theorem 3.11., it follows that  $m^3(M, \phi, q, p) = l_\infty^3(M, q, p)$  if and only if  $\sup_{s,t,q \geq 1} \frac{stq}{\phi_{s,t,q}} < \infty$ .

This completes the proof.

The proof of the following result is routine work.

**Proposition 3.14.** Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function,  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  be seminorms. Then

**(i)**  $m^3(M, \phi, q_1, p) \cap m^3(M, \phi, q_2, p) \subset m^2(M, \phi, q_1 + q_2, p)$ ,

**(ii)** If  $q_1$  is stronger than  $q_2$ , then  $m^3(M, \phi, q_1, p) \subset m^3(M, \phi, q_2, p)$ ,

**(iii)**  $l_\infty^3(M, q_1, p) \cap l_\infty^3(M, q_2, p) \subset l_\infty^3(M, q_1 + q_2, p)$ ,

**(iv)** If  $q_1$  is stronger than  $q_2$ , then  $l_\infty^3(M, q_1, p) \subset l_\infty^3(M, q_2, p)$ ,

**(v)**  $l_p^3(M, q_1) \cap l_p^3(M, q_2) \subset l_p^3(M, q_1 + q_2)$ ,

**(vi)** If  $q_1$  is stronger than  $q_2$ , then  $l_p^3(M, q_1) \subset l_p^3(M, q_2)$ .

**Particular Cases:** If one considers a normed linear space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  instead of a seminormed space  $(X, q)$ , then one will get  $m^3(M, \phi, \|\cdot\|, p)$ , which will be a normed linear space, normed by

$$\|(x_{ij})\| = \inf \left\{ r \frac{p_{ij}}{r} > \right.$$

$$\left. 0: \sup_{s,t,q \geq 1, \sigma \in P_{stq}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s,t,q}} \sum_{(i,j,l) \in \sigma} M \left( \left\| \frac{x_{ij}}{r} \right\| \right) \leq 1 \right\}, \text{ where } J = \max(1, 2^{H-1}).$$

The triple space  $m^3(M, \phi, \|\cdot\|, p)$  will be a solid, monotone and symmetric space. Further most of the results proved in the previous section will be true for this space too.

#### IV.CONCLUSION

The main result of this conference paper II is to introduce some properties of triple sequence spaces.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.Esi, Some new sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, Bull.Inst.Math.Acad.Sinica, **27(1)**(1999), 71-76.
- [2] A.Esi, On a class of new type difference sequences related to the space  $l_p$ , Far East J.Math.Sci.(FJMS), **13(2)**(2004), 167-172.
- [3] A.Esi and M.Et, Some new sequence spaces defined by a sequence of Orlicz functions, Indian J.Pure Appl.Math., **31(8)**(2000), 967-972.
- [4] A. Sahiner, M. Gürdal and F. Kadriye Düden, Triple Sequences and Their Statistical Convergence, Selçuk J. Appl. Math. Vol. 8. No.2. pp. 49 - 55 , 2007.
- [5] B.C.Tripathy and S.Mahanta, On a class of sequences related to the space defined by Orlicz functions, Soochow J.Math., **29(4)**(2003), 379-391.
- [6] F.Moricz, Extension of the spaces  $c$  and  $c_o$  from single to double sequences, Acta Math.Hungarica, **57(1-2)**(1991), 129-136.
- [7] F.Moricz and B.E.Rhoades, Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices, Math. Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc., **104**(1988), 283-294.
- [8] G.H.Hardy, On the convergence of certain multiple series, Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc., **19**(1917), 86-95.
- [9] H.Nakano, Modular sequence spaces, Proc.Japan Acad., **27**(1951), 508-512.
- [10] J.Lindenstrauss and L.Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces, Israel J.Math., **10**(1971), 379-390.
- [11] M.A.Krasnosel'ski and Y.B.Rutickii, Convex function and Orlicz spaces, Groningen, Nederland, 1961.
- [12] S.D.Parashar and B.Choudhary, Sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions, Indian J.Pure Appl.Math., **25**(1994), 419-428.
- [13] S.Simons, The sequence spaces  $l(p_v)$  and  $m(p_v)$ , Proc.London Math.Soc., **15(3)**(1965), 422-436.
- [14] T.J.I.A.Bromwich, An Introduction to the Theory of Infinite Series, MacMillan and Co.Ltd. New York, 1965.