

1st International Conference on Pioneer and Innovative Studies

June 5-7. 2023 : Konva. Turkev



© 2023 Published by All Sciences Proceedings

https://asproceeding.com/index.php/icpis

l CPIS

A modified hybrid conjugate gradient method for solving unconstrained non-linear optimization problems has been developed

Chenna Nasreddine

Laboratory Mathematics and Informatics, Souk Ahras University, Algeria

chennanasreddine206@gmail.com

Abstract – This paper presents a new hybrid conjugate gradient method, combining the Conjugate Descent (CD) and Al-Bayati & Al-Assady (BA) methods, for solving unconstrained optimization problems. We provide a convergence analysis of the proposed method and demonstrate its effectiveness through numerical examples.

Keywords – Optimization; Algorithm; Descent Direction; Line Search; Conjugate Gradient

(2)

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider the unconstrained optimization problem: $\min\{f(x): x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ (1)

Where $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuously differentiable function, bounded from below. To solve this problem we use a sequence $\{x_k\}$ which is given as follows:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$$

Starting form an initial vector x_0 , Where $\alpha_k > 0$ is determined by linear search. To move from x_k to x_{k+1} we use direction d_k given as follows:

 $d_0 = -g_0; d_{k+1} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k d_k.$ In order to determine α_k , we usually use strong Wolfe conditions (cf [6]) given by the flowing forms:

$$f(x_k + \alpha_k d_k) - f(x_k) \le \delta \alpha_k g_k^T d_k \qquad (3)$$
$$|g_{k+1}^T d_k| \le -\sigma g_k^T d_k \qquad (4)$$

Where $0 < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$.

On the other hand, many other numerical methods for unconstrained optimization are proved to be convergent under the standard Wolfe conditions (3) and

$$g_{k+1}^T d_k > \sigma g_k^T d_k \tag{5}$$

Now,	let	us	denote
$y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$	and	$s_k = x_{k+1}$	$-x_k$.

There are formulas of the conjugate gradient parameter β_k (cf [8], [2], [3], [4], respectively) which are given as follow:

$$\beta_{k}^{PRP} = \frac{g_{k+1}^{I} y_{k}}{\|g_{k}\|^{2}},$$
$$\beta_{k}^{LS} = \frac{g_{k+1}^{T} y_{k}}{-g_{k}^{T} d_{k}},$$
$$\beta_{k}^{BA} = \frac{\|y_{k}\|^{2}}{d_{k}^{T} y_{k}},$$
$$\beta_{k}^{CD} = \frac{\|g_{k+1}\|^{2}}{-d_{k}^{T} g_{k}},$$

The aim of this study is to find a new combination based on the previous works of [1], [2] and [3]. Note that, we based on the convex combination of Andrei [1] using CD and BA methods. In the next section, we find the parameters θ_k and also we prove that d_k satisfies the descent condition. In the end of his section, we give the CDBACC algorithm to solve the optimization problem. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the convergence analysis. Finally, to illustrate our method we give some numerical examples.

II. A CONVEX COMBINATION

Before we start, we define β_k^{New} as follows: $\beta_k^{New} = \theta_k \beta_k^{CD} + (1 - \theta_k) \beta_k^{BA}$, (6) and θ_k is a scalar parameter satisfying $0 \le \theta_k \le 1$. The direction d_k^{New} is given by:

$$d_0^{New} = -g_0, \qquad d_{k+1}^{New} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^{New} d_k.$$
 (7)

<u>Theorem 1.</u> If the relations (6) and (7) hold, then $d_{k+1}^{New} = \theta_k d_{k+1} + (1 - \theta_k) d_{k+1}$. (8)

Using the following conjugate condition

$$y_k^T d_{k+1}^{New} = 0, \qquad (9)$$
and multiplying (8) by y_k^T and by using (9) we get

$$\theta_k = \frac{(-y_k^T g_{k+1})(d_k^T g_k) + ||y_k||^2 d_k^T y_k}{||g_{k+1}||^2 d_k^T y_k + ||y_k||^2 d_k^T g_k} \qquad (10)$$

We could fix the θ_k as follows:

$$\theta_k \begin{cases} 0, & If \ \theta_k \le 0, \\ \frac{(-y_k^T g_{k+1})(d_k^T g_k) + \|y_k\|^2 d_k^T y_k}{\|g_{k+1}\|^2 d_k^T y_k + \|y_k\|^2 d_k^T g_k}, & If \ 0 < \theta_k < 1 \\ 1, & If \ \theta_k > 1. \end{cases}$$

Assumption1.

f(x) is bounded from below on the level set $S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x) \le f(x_0) \}.$

Assumption2.

The gradient g(x) is Lipschitz continuous i.e there exists a constant L > 0 such *that* $||g(x) - g(y)|| \le L||x - y||$, for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

These assumptions imply that there exists a positive constant γ such that $||g(x)|| \le \gamma$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 2. Assume that Assumption 1 and 2 hold, let strong Wolfe conditions hold with $\sigma < \frac{1}{2}$ and also let there exist $\mu > 0$ such that $\|s_k\|^2 \le \mu \|g_{k+1}\|^2$, $\frac{\sigma}{1-\sigma} \mu L^2 < 1$.

Then d_k^{New} satisfies the sufficient descent condition for all k.

<u>Algorithm</u> (AlgorithmCDBACC) Step 0. Select $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \sigma < \frac{1}{2}$. Compute $f(x_0)$, and g_0 Consider $d_0 = -g_0$.

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\|g_0\|}.$$

Set the initial guess. Step 1. If $||g_0|| \leq \varepsilon$, then STOP. Step 2. Compute $\alpha_k > 0$ satisfying the strong Wolfe line search conditions (3) and (4). Calculi $x_{k+1}, f_{k+1}, g_{k+1}, y_k$. Step 3. If $||g_{k+1}||^2 d_k^T y_k + ||y_k||^2 d_k^T g_k = 0$, then set $\theta_k = 0$, else set θ_k as in (10). Step 4. Compute β_k^{New} as in (6). Step 5. Compute $d_{k+1}^{New} = -g_{k+1} + \beta_k^{New} d_k$. Step 6. If the restart criterion of Powell $|g_{k+1}^Tg_k| \ge 0.2 ||g_{k+1}||^2$ is satisfied, then $d_{k+1}^{New} = -g_{k+1}$, else define $d_{k+1} = d_k$ Step 7. Compute the initial guess $\alpha_k = \alpha_{k-1} \frac{\|d_{k-1}\|}{\|d_k\|}.$ Step 8. Set k = k + 1, and continue with step 2.

III. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Lemma 1. [7] Assume that Assumption 1 and 2 hold. Consider any method of the form (1), where d_k is a descent direction and α_k satisfies the standard Wolfe conditions (3) and (4). Then we have that

$$\sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(g_k^T d_k)^2}{\|d_k\|^2} < +\infty$$

Lemma 1. [8] Suppose that Assumption 1 and 2 holds. If d_k is a descent direction and the step size α_k satisfies $g_{k+1}^T d_k \ge \sigma g_k^T d_k, \sigma < 1$, then $\alpha_k \ge \frac{1-\sigma}{L} \frac{|d_k^T g_k|}{||d_k||^2}.$

According to the lemma (8), the conditions (4) and (7), that α_k obtained in the HCDBA method is not equal to zero, i.e., there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\alpha_k \geq \lambda, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

<u>Theorem 1.</u> Consider the iterative method of the form (1), (6), (7), (10), Let all conditions of Theorem (2) hold. Then either $g_k = 0$ for some k, or

$\lim_{k\to\infty}\inf\|g_k\|=0.$

IV. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE



V. CONCLUSION

The conjugate gradient methods and trust region methods popular are very now. Namely, different modifications of these methods are made, with the aim to improve them. Hybrid conjugate gradient methods are made in many different ways; this class of conjugate gradient methods is always effective. In this paper we prove the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposed hybrid conjugate gradient method.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Andrei, A hybrid conjugate gradient algorithm for unconstrained optimization as a convex combination of Hestenes-Stiefel and Dai-Yuan, Studies in Informatics and Control, 17, 1 (2008) 55-70.
- [2] Y. Liu, C. Storey, E cient generalized conjugate gradient algorithms, Part 1: Theory, JOTA, 69 (1991) 129137.
- [3] Al-Bayati, A.Y. and Al-Assady, N.H (1986), Conjugate Gradient Method, Technical Report, no.(1/86), School of Computer Studies, Leeds University. U.K R.
- [4] Fletcher, Practical Methods of Optimization, vol. 1: Unconstrained Optimization, JohnWiley and Sons, New York, 1987.
- [5] N. Chenna, Comments on "New Hybrid Conjugate Gradient Method as a Convex Combination of FR and PRP Methods", Filomat 33:14 (2019), 4573–4574.

- [6] N. Chenna, A new hybrid conjugate gradient method of unconstrained optimization methods, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics Vol. 15, No. 4 (2022) 2250070 (11 pages).
- [7] P.Wolfe, Convergence conditions for ascent methods, SIAM Review, 11 (1969) 226-235.
- [8] B.T. Polyak, The conjugate gradient method in extreme problems, USSR Comp. Math. Math. Phys., 9 (1969) 94-112.
- [9] G. Zoutendijk, Nonlinear programming computational methods, in: J.Abadie (Ed.), Integer and Nonlinear Programming, North-Holland, 1970, pp. 37-86.
- [10] J.K. Liu, S.J. Li, New hybrid conjugate gradient method for unconstrained optimization, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 245 (2014) 36-43.