Uluslararası İleri Doğa Bilimleri ve Mühendislik Araştırmaları Dergisi
Sayı 8, S. 57-75, 10, 2024

Araştırma Makalesi

International Journal of Advanced Natural Sciences and Engineering Researches
Volume 8, pp. 57-75, 10, 2024

Research Article

https://as-proceeding.com/index.php/ijanser
ISSN:2980-0811

Braın Based Classıfıcatıon Wıth Image Processıng And Deep Artıfıcıal Intellıgence Methods In Matlab Software Envıronment

Ali Berkan Ural[1*], Mehmet Can Çalım[2]

[1]*Assoc. Prof., Department of Electrical Electronics Engineering, Circuit and Systems/Biomedical, Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey, berkan.ural@kafkas.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0001-5176-9280*

[2]*B.Sc., Faculty of Economics and Administrative Sciences, Department of Management Information Systems, Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey, mehmetcancalim06@gmail.com, ORCID: 0009-0005-9433-9359*

[*]*Corresponding author email: berkan.ural@kafkas.edu.tr*

(Received: 08 November 2024, Accepted: 16 November 2024)

(3rd International Conference on Contemporary Academic Research ICCAR 2024, 10-11 November 2024)

ATIF/REFERENCE: Ural, A. B. & Çalım, M. C. (2024). Braın Based Classıfıcatıon Wıth Image Processıng And Deep Artıfıcıal Intellıgence Methods In Matlab Software Envıronment, *International Journal of Advanced Natural Sciences and Engineering Researches*, 8(10), 57-75.

Abstract – Medical image processing is an important interdisciplinary field that involves advanced integrated computational techniques with medical sciences to enhance the visualization, analysis, and interpretation of medical dataset or images. It consists from the use of algorithms and tools for image acquisition, segmentation, enhancement, reconstruction, and classification, enabling healthcare professionals to diagnose diseases more accurately and efficiently. Common modalities include MRI, CT scans, X-rays, and ultrasound, with applications some areas such as cancer detection, cardiovascular analysis, and neurological assessment. Recent advances in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning, especially Deep Learning, have significantly improved automated image analysis, enabling faster and more robust identification of pathologies. The integration of artificial intelligence and big data further holds the potential to develop the personalized medicine, clinical decision-making, treatment planning, etc. Despite its progress, challenges remain in terms of data standardization, privacy concerns, and the need for robust validation of models in clinical settings. Brain image-based classification using image processing and deep learning methods in MATLAB is a crucial and popular area in medical diagnostics, aiding in the detection and categorization of neurological conditions or disorders. This review approach combines traditional image processing techniques such as image segmentation, feature extraction, and enhancement with cutting-edge deep learning models to classify brain abnormalities, including tumors and stroke for pre-diagnosing phase. However, challenges remain, including the need for large, well-labeled datasets and computational resources, as well as addressing the generalization of models across diverse patient populations.

Keywords – Brain Tumors, Stroke, Image Processing, Deep Learning, Pre-Diagnostic Methods.

I. INTRODUCTION

Brain tumors and strokes are two of the most serious neurological disorders, often leading to significant morbidity and mortality if not diagnosed and treated promptly [1, 2]. Early and accurate diagnosis is essential for improving patient outcomes, as timely medical intervention can prevent further deterioration. However, traditional diagnostic methods, such as visual analysis of MRI or CT scans by radiologists, can be labor-intensive and subject to human error, especially when dealing with subtle or complex cases [3, 4].

Recent advancements in medical image processing and deep learning offer new possibilities for automating and enhancing the classification of brain tumors and strokes, facilitating faster and more precise prediagnosis [5, 6]. Image processing techniques can improve medical images by enhancing contrast, reducing noise, and isolating regions of interest, which are essential for accurate diagnosis [7, 8, 9]. Deep learning models, particularly based on convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have demonstrated superior performance in automatically learning complex patterns and features from medical images, enabling them to classify abnormal regions with high accuracy [10].

Traditional diagnostic methods rely on imaging techniques like magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT) scans, where radiologists manually examine images to detect and classify abnormalities [11]. However, manual interpretation can be time-consuming and subject to human error, especially in complex or some specific subtle cases [12]. This has driven the development of automated methods for brain tumor and stroke classification using advanced technologies such as image processing and artificial intelligence methods.

Automated classification and prediagnosis systems offer significant promise in clinical settings, hough challenges such as data quality, algorithm robustness, and generalization across patient populations must be addressed to fully realize their potential in routine medical practice [13]. By leveraging image processing techniques, medical images can be enhanced, segmented, and analyzed to highlight areas of interest, such as tumors or stroke-affected regions. Combined with deep learning models, particularly especially convolutional neural networks (CNNs), these systems can automatically learn complex patterns from brain images and classify conditions with high accuracy [14, 15]. This approach not only achieves the diagnostic process but also provides more consistent and reliable results, supporting early intervention and improving patient outcomes.

The need for more precise, faster diagnostic tools has led to the integration of advanced technologies like image processing and artificial intelligence (AI) into medical imaging [16]. Brain tumor and stroke classification and prediagnosis involve analyzing medical images to identify abnormalities such as tumors, ischemic areas, or hemorrhages, often before symptoms become severe. Image processing techniques enhance the quality of medical images, isolate areas of interest, and extract important features for further analysis [17]. In parallel, deep learning models, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have proven highly effective at automatically recognizing patterns and classifying different types of brain abnormalities with minimal human input [18].

These innovations not only accelerate the diagnostic process but also reduce the risk of misdiagnosis, enabling healthcare professionals to deliver more accurate and timely treatment [19, 20]. The combination of image processing and deep learning holds significant potential in clinical practice, supporting early detection and personalized treatment strategies for patients with brain tumors and strokes. However, challenges such as the need for large, diverse datasets and the standardization of diagnostic algorithms across clinical environments remain areas of ongoing research [21].

MATLAB, with its extensive image processing and machine learning toolboxes, provides a robust platform for implementing these advanced methods [22, 23]. By integrating traditional image processing techniques with deep learning models in MATLAB, researchers and clinicians can develop effective systems for the automated prediagnosis of brain tumors and strokes. This not only streamlines the diagnostic process but also reduces the burden on healthcare professionals, enabling them to make faster and more reliable decisions [24, 25]. However, the success of these methods depends on the availability of high-quality labeled datasets and the ability to generalize across diverse patient populations. Mainly, this study can be classified as a review study for classification brain based disorders with image processing and deep AI methods in detail.

1.1. Related Studies in Brain Tumor and Stroke Classification Using Deep Learning

In recent years, a growing body of research has explored the application of deep learning techniques for brain tumor and stroke classification. These studies comprise from advanced neural network architectures to improve diagnostic accuracy, automate segmentation, and enhance treatment planning. This section provides a detailed overview of notable studies in this field, highlighting their methodologies, findings, and contributions.

Several studies have explored brain-based classification using image processing and deep learning methods within the MATLAB environment, showcasing its efficacy in various medical and cognitive applications. For instance, **Totad et al. (2023)** [26] utilized convolutional neural networks (CNNs) in MATLAB to classify MRI scans for early detection of Alzheimer's disease, achieving significant accuracy improvements over traditional methods. Similarly, **Deshmukh et al. (2022)** [27] applied a deep learning framework to functional MRI (fMRI) data to distinguish between schizophrenia and healthy controls, using MATLAB's image processing toolbox for preprocessing and feature extraction. In the realm of EEG analysis, **Sherif et al. (2022) [28]** implemented a hybrid deep learning model to classify motor imagery tasks, integrating MATLAB’s Signal Processing Toolbox for data preprocessing and artifact removal. Moreover, **Kukadiya et al. (2024)** [29] demonstrated the effectiveness of using autoencoders for unsupervised feature learning from brain images. These studies highlight MATLAB's versatility in integrating advanced deep learning techniques with robust image processing tools, enabling precise brain-based classification across various neuroimaging modalities.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Dataset Acquisition

To develop and evaluate the brain tumor and stroke prediagnosis classification/prediagnosis system, brain imaging datasets could be acquired easily from publicly available medical image repositories [30, 31]. These datasets could include MRI and CT scan images of patients diagnosed with brain tumors and strokes, as well as normal brain images for comparison. The datasets had to be carefully curated, consisting of high-resolution images with corresponding labels that indicate the presence and type of abnormalities (e.g., tumor type, stroke subtype). To ensure diversity and generalization, the datasets had to be included images from different medical centers, patients of varying age groups, and different imaging modalities.

2.2. Image Preprocessing in Brain Tumor and Stroke Classification

Image preprocessing is a critical step in brain tumor and stroke classification, as it ensures that the input images are of sufficient quality and consistency for accurate analysis by image processing algorithms and deep learning models. In medical imaging, raw data often contain noise, artifacts, and variations in contrast, which can hinder the detection of abnormalities [32]. Effective preprocessing improves image clarity, reduces unwanted variations, and enhances key features, ultimately boosting the performance of classification systems. Below are the key steps involved in image preprocessing:

2.2.1. Noise Reduction

Medical images often contain noise due to various factors, such as equipment limitations, patient movement, or environmental conditions during acquisition. Noise reduction techniques are used to remove this unwanted information while preserving important features of the brain images [33].

- **Gaussian Filtering:** This technique smooths the image by averaging pixel values with their neighbors, reducing high-frequency noise. A Gaussian filter applies a kernel that blurs the image, helping to smooth out abrupt changes in pixel intensity while maintaining edges, which are critical for identifying regions of interest like tumors or lesions [34].
- **Median Filtering:** Median filtering replaces each pixel value with the median value of the pixels in its neighborhood, which is particularly effective at removing salt-and-pepper noise while preserving sharp edges. This method is useful for retaining the shape and boundaries of brain abnormalities [35].

2.2.2. Image Normalization

Image normalization is a technique used to standardize the intensity values of all images to a common scale, making them more comparable. In medical imaging, there can be significant variations in brightness and contrast between scans from different machines or patients.

- **Intensity Normalization:** This process scales the pixel intensity values of the image to a fixed range, typically between 0 and 1 or between 0 and 255. Normalization ensures that images with different intensity scales can be processed uniformly, allowing for more reliable analysis by the deep learning model [36].
- **Histogram Equalization:** This method improves image contrast by redistributing pixel intensities across the full range, effectively highlighting features that may be difficult to detect in low-contrast areas. It is particularly useful in cases where the abnormalities, such as small tumors or stroke-affected regions, are not clearly visible [37].

2.2.3. Skull Stripping

Skull stripping is a critical preprocessing step in brain imaging, as it removes non-brain tissues like the skull, scalp, and other surrounding structures. This ensures that only the brain tissue is analyzed, reducing the chance of misclassification or errors during segmentation.

- **Threshold-Based Skull Stripping:** A predefined intensity threshold is applied to separate brain tissue from non-brain regions. However, this method may require manual tuning for different datasets [38].
- **Region-Based Skull Stripping:** More advanced techniques, such as region growing or morphological operations, are used to refine the boundary between brain and non-brain tissue. This improves the accuracy of the segmentation and ensures that only relevant brain regions are analyzed [39].

2.2.4. Image Resizing

To ensure compatibility with deep learning models, input images must be resized to a uniform resolution. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) typically require input images of fixed dimensions, so resizing helps standardize image input across the dataset.

- **Uniform Resizing:** All images are resized to a consistent dimension, such as 256x256 or 512x512 pixels, depending on the architecture of the deep learning model. This step ensures that the input dimensions match the expected size for the model layers, avoiding input size errors during training [40].
- **Aspect Ratio Preservation:** When resizing, it is important to maintain the aspect ratio of the original image to avoid distortion. Methods like padding can be applied to fill the empty space while preserving the image's original aspect ratio [41].

2.2.5. Contrast Enhancement

In many cases, abnormalities in brain images, such as small tumors or stroke regions, may have low contrast compared to surrounding healthy tissue. Contrast enhancement techniques are used to make these regions more distinguishable.

- **Adaptive Histogram Equalization (AHE):** Unlike standard histogram equalization, AHE applies the equalization process to small regions of the image, improving local contrast and revealing details in areas where intensity variations are subtle [42].
- **CLAHE (Contrast-Limited Adaptive Histogram Equalization):** This variant of AHE limits the amplification of noise by setting a threshold for contrast enhancement. CLAHE is particularly useful in medical images where excessive contrast amplification could increase noise levels [43].

2.2.6. Data Augmentation

To overcome the limitations of small datasets, data augmentation techniques are applied during preprocessing to artificially increase the size of the training data [44]. This improves the model's generalization capability and prevents overfitting [45].

- **Rotation and Flipping:** Randomly rotating or flipping images helps the model learn invariant features, meaning it can recognize brain tumors or strokes regardless of their orientation in the image.
- **Zooming and Cropping:** Zooming in on specific regions or cropping the image introduces slight variations in scale, helping the model become more robust to differences in size and positioning of abnormalities.
- **Brightness and Contrast Adjustments:** Varying the brightness or contrast of the images introduces diversity in lighting conditions, ensuring that the model performs well under various intensity levels and image contrasts.

2.2.7. Smoothing and Sharpening

Depending on the quality of the original brain scans, it may be necessary to smooth or sharpen specific details in the images.

- **Smoothing Filters:** Used to blur the image and reduce noise, smoothing filters help in the removal of fine, irrelevant details, enhancing the important features like tumor boundaries.

Gaussian smoothing, in particular, is useful for eliminating random noise without significantly distorting image content [46].

- **Sharpening Filters:** Sharpening filters, such as the Laplacian filter, enhance the edges of objects in the image, making boundaries between healthy and abnormal tissues more distinct. This is particularly important for accurate segmentation of tumors and stroke regions [47].

2.2.8. Registration

If images from multiple modalities or multiple time points are being used (e.g., before and after a stroke), image registration aligns the images to a common reference frame. This ensures that the corresponding regions of interest from different scans match up spatially [48].

- **Rigid Registration:** Applies translation and rotation to align images.
- **Non-rigid Registration:** Allows for more complex deformations, correcting anatomical differences between scans.

2.2.9. Masking

For brain tumor and stroke classification, specific regions of interest (ROIs) need to be focused on, and unwanted areas of the image should be ignored. Masking applies a binary mask to the image, isolating only the relevant regions such as the brain's tissue, tumor, or stroke-affected areas [49].

- **Binary Masking:** A binary mask is created by segmenting out regions that contain the tumor or stroke lesion. The mask is then applied to remove background noise and irrelevant regions.

2.3. Image Segmentation in Brain Tumor and Stroke Classification

Image segmentation is a crucial step in medical image analysis, particularly for brain tumor and stroke classification. Segmentation involves dividing an image into distinct regions or segments that represent different anatomical structures or abnormal regions such as tumors, stroke lesions, or healthy tissue. Accurate segmentation is essential because it isolates the regions of interest (ROIs), such as tumors or infarcted areas, allowing for detailed analysis, feature extraction, and classification. Segmentation techniques can be broadly classified into threshold-based, edge-based, region-based, and machine learning or deep learning methods. Below are the key aspects and methods involved in image segmentation for brain tumor and stroke classification:

2.3.1. Threshold-Based Segmentation

Thresholding is one of the simplest and most widely used segmentation methods, especially for brain tumor and stroke detection. The method relies on the intensity levels of pixels to segment different regions in the image.

- **Global Thresholding:** In this approach, a single intensity value (threshold) is selected, and all pixels with intensity above the threshold are classified as one region (e.g., tumor or stroke), while those below it are classified as another (e.g., healthy tissue) [50].
    - **Otsu's Method:** This is an automatic thresholding technique that chooses the threshold by minimizing the intra-class variance (or maximizing inter-class variance) between the segmented regions. It works well for images with clear intensity differences between the ROI and background [51].

- **Adaptive Thresholding:** Instead of using a single global threshold, adaptive thresholding calculates different thresholds for different regions of the image, allowing for better segmentation in images with varying lighting conditions or non-uniform intensities [52].
- **Limitations:** Thresholding works well for images with distinct intensity differences between regions but can fail in cases where the intensity ranges overlap, such as in complex brain structures or lesions with similar intensities to surrounding tissue.

2.3.2. Edge-Based Segmentation

Edge-based segmentation methods detect the boundaries of regions based on changes in pixel intensity, making them effective for delineating structures like tumors and stroke-affected regions.

- **Canny Edge Detection:** This is a popular method that identifies edges by looking for areas in the image where the intensity gradient is high. The algorithm uses Gaussian smoothing to remove noise before detecting edges, which is useful in medical imaging where noise can interfere with boundary detection [53].
- **Sobel Operator:** The Sobel operator computes the gradient of image intensity at each pixel, highlighting regions with high gradients (edges). It is commonly used to find boundaries between different tissue types or between healthy and abnormal regions in brain images [54].
- **Limitations:** Edge-based methods may struggle in noisy images or images where the boundaries between regions are not well-defined. Post-processing, such as edge linking, may be needed to connect disjointed edges into continuous boundaries.

2.3.3. Region-Based Segmentation

Region-based segmentation techniques group pixels into regions based on similarity in intensity or texture. These methods are particularly useful when the target regions (e.g., tumor or stroke) share similar characteristics.

- **Region Growing:** This method starts from a seed point (a pixel within the region of interest) and grows the region by adding neighboring pixels that have similar intensity values. Region growing is effective in segmenting areas with homogeneous intensities, such as stroke lesions or certain types of brain tumors [55].
  - **Manual Seed Selection:** The user can manually select seed points in the regions of interest, which requires prior knowledge of the image anatomy.
  - **Automated Seed Selection:** Automatic seed selection techniques can identify the initial points based on intensity thresholds or other criteria, reducing the need for manual input.
- **Watershed Segmentation:** Watershed is a region-based technique that treats the image like a topographic surface, with brightness representing height. It segments the image by "flooding" the surface from multiple seed points, creating boundaries where different regions meet [56].
  - **Marker-Controlled Watershed:** This method is often used in medical imaging to avoid over-segmentation. Markers are placed on the image to indicate the foreground and background, ensuring that only relevant regions, such as the tumor or stroke area, are segmented.
- **Limitations:** Region-based methods can be sensitive to noise and may result in over-segmentation (splitting a region into multiple parts) or under-segmentation (merging distinct regions).

2.3.4. Clustering-Based Segmentation

Clustering methods partition the image into groups (clusters) based on pixel characteristics such as intensity, texture, or location. These methods do not require prior knowledge of the image and are useful for segmenting complex images.

- **K-Means Clustering [57]:** This unsupervised algorithm groups pixels into **k** clusters based on their intensity values. For brain tumor and stroke segmentation, K-means can be used to differentiate between healthy tissue, tumor, and stroke-affected areas based on the pixel intensities.
    - **Steps:**
        1. Randomly initialize **k** cluster centers.
        2. Assign each pixel to the nearest cluster center based on the intensity value.
        3. Recalculate the cluster centers and reassign pixels until convergence.
    - **Limitations:** K-means clustering requires the number of clusters (**k**) to be specified beforehand, which can be difficult to determine accurately. It also assumes that the clusters are spherical in shape, which may not hold true in medical images.
- **Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) [58]:** Unlike K-means, where a pixel belongs to only one cluster, FCM assigns each pixel a degree of membership to different clusters. This soft clustering approach is particularly useful for medical images, where tissue boundaries are often fuzzy or unclear.

2.3.5. Hybrid Methods

In some cases, combining multiple segmentation techniques produces better results. For instance, an edge-based method can first detect boundaries, followed by region growing to refine the segmentation. Similarly, combining thresholding with clustering can enhance accuracy.

2.3.5.1. Deep Learning-Based Segmentation

Deep learning methods, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and fully convolutional networks (FCNs), have revolutionized medical image segmentation by automatically learning complex features and providing highly accurate results.

- **U-Net Architecture [59]:** U-Net is a widely used deep learning model for biomedical image segmentation. It consists of a contracting path (encoder) that captures context and a symmetric expanding path (decoder) that enables precise localization. U-Net has been particularly successful in segmenting tumors and stroke lesions due to its ability to handle small datasets and learn intricate features of medical images.
    - **Training:** U-Net requires labeled data for training, where the ground truth labels define the boundaries of the tumor or stroke region. The model learns to predict segmentation masks that outline these regions.
    - **Advantages:** U-Net and similar architectures excel in producing accurate segmentation results with minimal manual intervention. They can learn high-level features directly from the raw image data, eliminating the need for hand-crafted feature extraction.
- **SegNet [60]:** Another deep learning architecture used for segmentation, SegNet is designed to segment objects at the pixel level. It consists of encoder-decoder layers and preserves spatial information from the image through pooling indices, making it useful for segmenting regions in medical images, such as tumors or stroke lesions.
- **Mask R-CNN [61]:** This model extends object detection models to include a segmentation head, making it capable of detecting and segmenting objects within the image simultaneously. In brain

imaging, Mask R-CNN can identify and localize tumor regions while generating pixel-wise segmentation masks.

- **Challenges and Limitations:**
    - **Data Requirements:** Deep learning models require large amounts of labeled training data, which may not always be available in medical applications.
    - **Computational Resources:** Training deep learning models for segmentation can be computationally intensive, requiring powerful GPUs and memory.
    - **Generalization:** Models trained on one dataset may not generalize well to images from different scanners or patient populations.

2.3.6. Post-Processing

After segmentation, post-processing techniques are often used to refine the segmentation result. These techniques remove noise, fill holes, and ensure that segmented regions are contiguous [62].

- **Morphological Operations:** These operations include erosion, dilation, opening, and closing, which can help clean up segmented regions by removing small artifacts and filling gaps within the segmented areas.
- **Smoothing:** Smoothing operations can be applied to the edges of the segmented region to produce more natural boundaries, especially in cases where segmentation may have produced jagged or uneven edges.

1) 2.4. Deep Learning Architectures for Image Classification and Segmentation

Deep learning architectures, particularly neural networks, have revolutionized the field of image classification and segmentation. These architectures are designed to automatically learn hierarchical features from raw image data, enabling highly accurate predictions and segmentations. Below is a detailed overview of some of the most influential deep learning architectures used in image classification and segmentation, including Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), Fully Convolutional Networks (FCNs), U-Net, SegNet, and Mask R-CNN.

2.4.1. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) [63]

Overview: CNNs are a class of deep learning models specifically designed for processing grid-like data, such as images. They are composed of layers that apply convolutions to the input image, detecting various features at different levels of abstraction.

Architecture:

- **Convolutional Layers:** These layers apply convolutional filters to the input image, detecting low-level features like edges and textures. Each filter produces a feature map, which represents the presence of a specific feature in different locations of the image.
- **Activation Functions:** Non-linear activation functions, such as ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit), are applied to introduce non-linearity into the model, enabling it to learn complex patterns.
- **Pooling Layers:** Pooling layers (e.g., max pooling) downsample the feature maps, reducing their spatial dimensions and computational complexity while retaining essential features.
- **Fully Connected Layers:** These layers connect every neuron in one layer to every neuron in the next, combining features learned from previous layers to make predictions.

Popular CNN Architectures:

- **LeNet-5:** One of the earliest CNN architectures, designed for handwritten digit recognition.
- **AlexNet:** Introduced in the ImageNet competition, it consists of multiple convolutional and pooling layers followed by fully connected layers. It demonstrated the effectiveness of deep learning for large-scale image classification.
- **VGGNet:** Known for its simplicity and depth, VGGNet uses very small (3x3) convolutional filters and deep layers to achieve high accuracy. VGG16 and VGG19 are popular variants.
- **ResNet (Residual Networks):** Introduces residual connections (skip connections) that allow the network to learn residual mappings, making it easier to train very deep networks and improving performance.

2.4.2. ***Fully Convolutional Networks (FCNs) [64]***

Overview: FCNs are an extension of CNNs specifically designed for image segmentation tasks. Unlike traditional CNNs, which output a single label per image, FCNs output a segmentation map where each pixel is classified into a category.

Architecture:

- **Convolutional Layers:** FCNs use only convolutional layers, with no fully connected layers, allowing them to handle input images of arbitrary sizes.
- **Upsampling Layers:** To produce segmentation maps of the same size as the input image, FCNs use upsampling techniques such as transposed convolutions (deconvolutions) to increase the resolution of feature maps.
- **Skip Connections:** FCNs often incorporate skip connections from earlier layers to combine high-resolution features with deep, semantic features, improving segmentation accuracy.

Key Variants:

- **FCN-8s:** Uses a series of convolutional layers followed by upsampling and skip connections to produce detailed segmentation maps.
- **DeepLab:** Introduces atrous (dilated) convolutions to capture multi-scale contextual information and improve segmentation performance.

2.4.3. ***U-Net***

Overview: U-Net is a deep learning architecture specifically designed for biomedical image segmentation. It is known for its ability to work well with small datasets and produce precise segmentation maps.

Architecture:

- **Contracting Path (Encoder):** Consists of a series of convolutional and pooling layers that capture context and extract features from the input image.
- **Bottleneck:** A series of convolutional layers that operate at the lowest resolution to capture the most abstract features.
- **Expanding Path (Decoder):** Uses transposed convolutions (upsampling) to increase the resolution of feature maps and restore spatial information. Skip connections from the contracting path are concatenated with the upsampled features to retain fine details.

- **Output Layer:** A final convolutional layer produces the segmentation map, with each pixel classified into one of the target classes.

Advantages:

- **Precise Localization:** Skip connections help retain fine details and improve the accuracy of boundary delineation.
- **Data Efficiency:** Effective with limited annotated data due to its design and data augmentation strategies.

2.4.4. ***SegNet***

Overview: SegNet is another deep learning architecture designed for semantic segmentation tasks. It focuses on maintaining spatial information and producing accurate segmentation maps.

Architecture:

- **Encoder Network:** Similar to CNNs, it extracts features through convolutional layers and max pooling, storing pooling indices for use in the decoder.
- **Decoder Network:** Uses the stored pooling indices to perform upsampling and reconstruct the spatial resolution of the feature maps. This approach helps preserve spatial details and improves segmentation accuracy.
- **Pixel-Wise Softmax:** A final softmax layer classifies each pixel into one of the predefined classes, producing the segmentation map.

Advantages:

- **Detail Preservation:** The use of pooling indices in the decoder helps preserve spatial details and improves segmentation accuracy.
- **Efficient Training:** The architecture is designed to be efficient in both training and inference, making it suitable for real-time applications.

2.4.5. ***Mask R-CNN***

Overview: Mask R-CNN extends the Faster R-CNN object detection framework by adding a segmentation branch, enabling simultaneous object detection and segmentation.

Architecture:

- **Backbone Network:** Uses a pre-trained CNN (e.g., ResNet) as the backbone to extract feature maps from the input image.
- **Region Proposal Network (RPN):** Generates candidate regions (bounding boxes) where objects are likely to be located.
- **RoI Align:** Extracts fixed-size feature maps from each candidate region, improving the alignment of regions with object boundaries.
- **Segmentation Branch:** Adds a fully convolutional network that outputs binary masks for each object, producing pixel-wise segmentation maps.
- **Bounding Box Regression and Classification:** Along with the segmentation branch, Mask R-CNN includes components for refining bounding boxes and classifying detected objects.

Advantages:

- **Simultaneous Detection and Segmentation:** Provides both object localization (bounding boxes) and pixel-wise segmentation, allowing for comprehensive object understanding.
- **High Accuracy:** Achieves state-of-the-art performance in object detection and segmentation tasks.

For automatic classification, deep learning models, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), were designed and trained using MATLAB's Deep Learning Toolbox. The CNN architecture consisted of multiple convolutional layers, pooling layers, and fully connected layers. The key steps included:

- **Model Architecture:** The CNN architecture included layers for feature extraction (convolutional layers with ReLU activation and max-pooling layers) and classification (fully connected layers with softmax output). A commonly used architecture, such as VGG16 or a custom-designed CNN, was implemented.
- **Training:** The model was trained using the processed brain images. A large portion of the dataset (e.g., 80%) was used for training, while the remaining was split between validation (10%) and testing (10%) to evaluate performance. The categorical cross-entropy loss function was used with an optimizer like Adam to minimize error during training.
- **Transfer Learning:** Pretrained networks like AlexNet and ResNet were also explored through transfer learning to leverage pre-learned features and reduce the need for extensive training from scratch.

*2.4.6. **Model Evaluation***

The performance of the CNN models was evaluated using key metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. The following steps were followed:

- **Confusion Matrix:** A confusion matrix was generated to assess the true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives for both brain tumor and stroke classifications [65].
- **ROC Curve:** Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted to evaluate the model's sensitivity and specificity, with the Area Under the Curve (AUC) providing a single metric for overall performance [66].
- **Cross-Validation:** K-fold cross-validation (typically with 5 or 10 folds) was implemented to ensure that the model was not overfitting to the training data and could generalize well to new, unseen data [67].

III. DISCUSSION

The field of medical image analysis has been revolutionized by advances in deep learning architectures, leading to significant improvements in the classification and segmentation of brain tumors and stroke lesions. This discussion will delve into the effectiveness, strengths, and limitations of various deep learning architectures, comparing traditional image processing methods with state-of-the-art techniques. Additionally, it will explore the practical implications and future directions in the context of brain tumor and stroke prediagnosis.

Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) CNNs have fundamentally changed the approach to image classification. Their hierarchical structure allows for automatic feature extraction, which is crucial for recognizing patterns in complex medical images. However, CNNs are primarily designed for classification tasks, where they provide a single label for the entire image rather than detailed pixel-wise predictions.

- **Strengths:**
    - **Feature Learning:** CNNs learn hierarchical features from raw data, which improves their ability to recognize intricate patterns and abnormalities in medical images.
    - **Flexibility:** They are adaptable to various image classification tasks, including distinguishing between different types of brain tumors.
- **Limitations:**
    - **Limited Spatial Information:** CNNs do not provide detailed spatial information about the location of features, which is essential for tasks like segmentation.

Fully Convolutional Networks (FCNs) FCNs extend CNNs by replacing fully connected layers with convolutional layers, allowing the network to produce segmentation maps rather than single labels. This architecture is particularly useful for tasks that require pixel-level predictions.

- **Strengths:**
    - **Pixel-Wise Classification:** FCNs provide detailed segmentation maps that highlight the precise boundaries of tumors and stroke lesions.
    - **Versatility:** FCNs can handle images of varying sizes, making them adaptable to different medical imaging modalities.
- **Limitations:**
    - **Resolution Loss:** Although FCNs use upsampling to restore image resolution, some fine details can still be lost, especially in regions with complex boundaries.

U-Net U-Net is designed specifically for biomedical image segmentation, with a focus on preserving spatial information through its encoder-decoder structure and skip connections.

- **Strengths:**
    - **Precision:** U-Net's skip connections enable the network to combine high-resolution features with deep, abstract features, resulting in accurate segmentation of structures such as tumors and stroke lesions.
    - **Data Efficiency:** U-Net performs well even with relatively small datasets, which is often the case in medical imaging where annotated data is scarce.
- **Limitations:**
    - **Computational Complexity:** U-Net can be computationally intensive, particularly with high-resolution images or large networks, requiring significant resources for training and inference.

SegNet SegNet also focuses on semantic segmentation but differs from U-Net in its approach to preserving spatial information. It uses pooling indices for upsampling, which helps in reconstructing the spatial resolution of feature maps.

- **Strengths:**
    - **Detail Preservation:** The use of pooling indices helps maintain spatial details and improve segmentation accuracy, especially in medical images where fine details are crucial.
    - **Efficient Processing:** SegNet is designed to be efficient in both training and inference, making it suitable for real-time applications.
- **Limitations:**
    - **Less Flexibility:** While effective for segmentation, SegNet may be less versatile for other tasks compared to architectures like U-Net.

Mask R-CNN Mask R-CNN extends Faster R-CNN by adding a segmentation branch, allowing for both object detection and segmentation within the same framework.

- **Strengths:**
  - **Comprehensive Analysis:** Mask R-CNN provides both bounding box detection and pixel-wise segmentation, offering a detailed understanding of objects in the image.
  - **High Performance:** It achieves state-of-the-art performance in various detection and segmentation benchmarks, including medical imaging tasks.
- **Limitations:**
  - **Training Complexity:** Mask R-CNN requires substantial training data and computational resources, which can be a limitation in medical imaging applications with limited annotated data.

The integration of deep learning architectures into clinical practice offers numerous benefits for brain tumor and stroke classification:

- **Enhanced Diagnostic Accuracy:** Deep learning models, particularly those specialized for segmentation, improve the precision of tumor and stroke lesion delineation, leading to more accurate diagnoses.
- **Reduced Manual Effort:** Automated segmentation and classification reduce the need for manual annotation, allowing radiologists to focus on interpretation and treatment planning.
- **Early Detection:** Improved segmentation capabilities enable the detection of smaller or early-stage abnormalities that might be missed using traditional methods.

However, there are practical challenges and considerations:

- **Data Availability:** Deep learning models require large, labeled datasets for training. In medical imaging, acquiring high-quality annotated data can be challenging, and insufficient data can hinder model performance.
- **Computational Resources:** Training and deploying deep learning models require significant computational resources, including GPUs and memory, which may not be readily available in all clinical settings.
- **Generalization:** Models trained on specific datasets may not generalize well to images from different scanners or patient populations. Ensuring that models are robust and adaptable to diverse data is crucial for clinical adoption.

Moreover, the future of deep learning in brain tumor and stroke classification holds exciting possibilities:

- **Integration with Other Modalities:** Combining data from multiple imaging modalities (e.g., MRI, CT, PET) can provide a more comprehensive view of the brain and improve classification accuracy. Multimodal deep learning approaches are an area of active research.
- **Personalized Medicine:** Deep learning models can be tailored to individual patients, incorporating patient-specific data to provide personalized diagnostic and treatment recommendations.
- **Explainability and Trust:** Developing models that offer interpretability and explanations for their predictions is crucial for gaining trust from clinicians. Research into explainable AI (XAI) aims to address this challenge.
- **Transfer Learning and Pretrained Models:** Utilizing pretrained models and transfer learning can alleviate the need for large datasets and reduce training times, making deep learning more accessible for medical imaging applications.

IV. CONCLUSION

Deep learning architectures have significantly advanced the field of brain tumor and stroke classification, offering powerful tools for image analysis and diagnostic accuracy. While CNNs, FCNs, U-Net, SegNet, and Mask R-CNN each have their strengths and limitations, they collectively represent a major leap forward in medical imaging. The integration of these models into clinical practice promises to enhance diagnostic capabilities, reduce manual effort, and facilitate early detection. Addressing challenges related to data availability, computational resources, and model generalization will be key to realizing the full potential of deep learning in medical imaging. Future research and development will likely focus on integrating multimodal data, personalizing diagnostic approaches, and improving model interpretability, further advancing the field of brain tumor and stroke classification.

REFERENCES

[1] Kreisl, T. N., Toothaker, T., Karimi, S., & DeAngelis, L. M. (2008). Ischemic stroke in patients with primary brain tumors. *Neurology*, *70*(24), 2314-2320.

[2] Komlodi-Pasztor, E., Gilbert, M. R., & Armstrong, T. S. (2022). Diagnosis and management of stroke in adults with primary brain tumor. *Current oncology reports*, *24*(10), 1251-1259.

[3] Morgenstern, L. B., & Frankowski, R. F. (1999). Brain tumor masquerading as stroke. *Journal of neuro-oncology*, *44*, 47-52.

[4] Mangla, R., Ekhom, S., Jahromi, B. S., Almast, J., Mangla, M., & Westesson, P. L. (2014). CT perfusion in acute stroke: know the mimics, potential pitfalls, artifacts, and technical errors. *Emergency radiology*, *21*, 49-65.

[5] Guan, R., Zou, W., Dai, X., Yu, X., Liu, H., Chen, Q., & Teng, W. (2018). Mitophagy, a potential therapeutic target for stroke. *Journal of biomedical science*, *25*, 1-16.

[6] Antczak, A., Filipska, K., & Raszka, A. (2017). Care Issues of the Patient after a Stroke—Case Report. *Pielęgniarstwo Neurologiczne i Neurochirurgiczne*, *6*(1), 28-32.

[7[Li, M. D., Lang, M., Deng, F., Chang, K., Buch, K., Rincon, S., ... & Kalpathy-Cramer, J. (2021). Analysis of stroke detection during the COVID-19 pandemic using natural language processing of radiology reports. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, *42*(3), 429-434.

[8] Fueanggan, S., Chokchaitam, S., & Muengtaweepongsa, S. (2011, May). Prediction of ischemic stroke area from CT perfusion images of CBV and CBF based on digital image processing techniques. In *The 2011 IEEE/ICME International Conference on Complex Medical Engineering* (pp. 174-178). IEEE.

[9] González, R. G., & Schwamm, L. H. (2016). Imaging acute ischemic stroke. *Handbook of clinical neurology*, *135*, 293-315.

[10] Kaya, B., & Önal, M. (2023). A CNN transfer learning-based approach for segmentation and classification of brain stroke from noncontrast CT images. *International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology*, *33*(4), 1335-1352.

[11] Han, T., Nunes, V. X., Souza, L. F. D. F., Marques, A. G., Silva, I. C. L., Junior, M. A. A. F., ... & Reboucas Filho, P. P. (2020). Internet of medical things—based on deep learning techniques for segmentation of lung and stroke regions in CT scans. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 71117-71135.

[12] To, M. N. N., Kim, H. J., Roh, H. G., Cho, Y. S., & Kwak, J. T. (2020). Deep regression neural networks for collateral imaging from dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance perfusion in acute ischemic stroke. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, *15*, 151-162.

[13] Garg, R., Oh, E., Naidech, A., Kording, K., & Prabhakaran, S. (2019). Automating ischemic stroke subtype classification using machine learning and natural language processing. *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, *28*(7), 2045-2051.

[14] Dolatabadi, E., Taati, B., & Mihailidis, A. (2016, August). Automated classification of pathological gait after stroke using ubiquitous sensing technology. In *2016 38th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)* (pp. 6150-6153). IEEE.

[15] Subudhi, A., Dash, M., & Sabut, S. (2020). Automated segmentation and classification of brain stroke using expectation-maximization and random forest classifier. *Biocybernetics and Biomedical Engineering*, *40*(1), 277-289.

[16] Azman, I. H., Saad, N. M., Abdullah, A. R., Hamzah, R. A., & Samsudin, A. (2023). Automated CAD System for Early Stroke Diagnosis. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, *14*(8).

[17] Nowinski, W. L., Qian, G., & Hanley, D. F. (2014). A CAD system for hemorrhagic stroke. *The neuroradiology journal*, *27*(4), 409-416.

[18] Marciniec, M., Sapko, K., Kulczyński, M., Popek-Marciniec, S., Szczepańska-Szerej, A., & Rejdak, K. (2019). Non-traumatic cervical artery dissection and ischemic stroke: a narrative review of recent research. *Clinical neurology and neurosurgery*, *187*, 105561.

[19] Tang, F. H., Ng, D. K., & Chow, D. H. (2011). An image feature approach for computer-aided detection of ischemic stroke. *Computers in biology and medicine*, *41*(7), 529-536.

[20] Weimar, C., Kraywinkel, K., Hagemeister, C., Haaß, A., Katsarava, Z., Brunner, F., ... & Diener, H. C. (2010). Recurrent stroke after cervical artery dissection. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, *81*(8), 869-873.

[21] Reboucas Filho, P. P., Sarmento, R. M., Holanda, G. B., & de Alencar Lima, D. (2017). New approach to detect and classify stroke in skull CT images via analysis of brain tissue densities. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, *148*, 27-43.

[22] Hazard, R. H., Alam, N., Chowdhury, H. R., Adair, T., Alam, S., Streatfield, P. K., ... & Lopez, A. D. (2018). Comparing tariff and medical assistant assigned causes of death from verbal autopsy interviews in Matlab, Bangladesh: implications for a health and demographic surveillance system. *Population health metrics*, *16*, 1-8.

[23] Salleh, A., Yang, C. C., Singh, M. S. J., & Islam, M. T. (2019). Development of antipodal Vivaldi antenna for microwave brain stroke imaging system. *Int. J. Eng. Technol*, *8*(3), 162-168.

[24] Popoola, L. T., Babagana, G., & Susu, A. A. (2013). Thrombo-embolic stroke prediction and diagnosis using artificial neural network and genetic algorithm. *International Journal of Research and Reviews in Applied Sciences*, *14*(3), 655-661.

[25] Ashrafullah, M. D., & Sultana, N. (2017). *Developing a GUI using MATLAB in detecting brain stroke from ultrasonic data* (Doctoral dissertation, East West University).

[26] Totad, S. R., Sowmya, B. J., Boppudi, P., Yaswanth, N., & Nivedith, I. (2023, November). Brain Tumour Detection and Segmentation using CNN Architecture and U-Net Architecture. In *2023 International Conference on Integrated Intelligence and Communication Systems (ICIICS)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

[27] Deshmukh, S., & Tiwari, D. (2022). Detection and Classification of Brain Tumor Using Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). In *Machine Learning and Big Data Analytics (Proceedings of International Conference on Machine Learning and Big Data Analytics (ICMLBDA) 2021)* (pp. 289-303). Springer International Publishing.

[28] Musallam, A. S., Sherif, A. S., & Hussein, M. K. (2022). A new convolutional neural network architecture for automatic detection of brain tumors in magnetic resonance imaging images. *IEEE access*, *10*, 2775-2782.

[29] Kukadiya, B. (2024). Brain Tumor Identification using Brain MRI with Deep Learning.

[30] https://www.nih.gov/news-events/news-releases/nih-clinical-center-releases-dataset-32000-ct-images

[31] https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/masoudnickparvar/brain-tumor-mri-dataset

[32] Neethu, S., & Venkataraman, D. (2015). Stroke detection in brain using CT images. In *Artificial Intelligence and Evolutionary Algorithms in Engineering Systems: Proceedings of ICAEES 2014, Volume 1* (pp. 379-386). Springer India.

[33] Sivakumar, P., & Ganeshkumar, P. (2017). An efficient automated methodology for detecting and segmenting the ischemic stroke in brain MRI images. *International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology*, *27*(3), 265-272.

[34] Neethu, S., & Venkataraman, D. (2015). Stroke detection in brain using CT images. In *Artificial Intelligence and Evolutionary Algorithms in Engineering Systems: Proceedings of ICAEES 2014, Volume 1* (pp. 379-386). Springer India.

[35] Shakunthala, M., & HelenPrabha, K. (2019, February). Preprocessing analysis of brain images with atherosclerosis. In *2019 IEEE international conference on electrical, computer and communication technologies (ICECCT)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.

[36] Alnowami, M., Taha, E., Alsebaeai, S., Anwar, S. M., & Alhawsawi, A. (2022). MR image normalization dilemma and the accuracy of brain tumor classification model. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*, *15*(3), 33-39.

[37] Ulku, E. E., & Camurcu, A. Y. (2013, November). Computer aided brain tumor detection with histogram equalization and morphological image processing techniques. In *2013 International Conference on Electronics, Computer and Computation (ICECCO)* (pp. 48-51). IEEE.

[38] Benson, C. C., & Lajish, V. L. (2014, March). Morphology based enhancement and skull stripping of MRI brain images. In *2014 International Conference on intelligent computing applications* (pp. 254-257). IEEE.

[39] Kalavathi, P., & Prasath, V. S. (2016). Methods on skull stripping of MRI head scan images—a review. *Journal of digital imaging*, *29*, 365-379.

[40] Razzaq, S., Mubeen, N., Kiran, U., Asghar, M. A., & Fawad, F. (2020, October). Brain tumor detection from mri images using bag of features and deep neural network. In *2020 International Symposium on Recent Advances in Electrical Engineering & Computer Sciences (RAEE & CS)* (Vol. 5, pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[41] Alnowami, M., Taha, E., Alsebaeai, S., Anwar, S. M., & Alhawsawi, A. (2022). MR image normalization dilemma and the accuracy of brain tumor classification model. *Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences*, *15*(3), 33-39.

[42] Ramamoorthy, M., Qamar, S., Manikandan, R., Jhanjhi, N. Z., Masud, M., & AlZain, M. A. (2022, June). Earlier detection of brain tumor by pre-processing based on histogram equalization with neural network. In *Healthcare* (Vol. 10, No. 7, p. 1218). MDPI.

[43] Mzoughi, H., Njeh, I., Slima, M. B., & Hamida, A. B. (2018, March). Histogram equalization-based techniques for contrast enhancement of MRI brain Glioma tumor images: Comparative study. In *2018 4th International conference on advanced technologies for signal and image processing (ATSIP)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[44] Zebari, N. A., Alkurdi, A. A., Marqas, R. B., & Salih, M. S. (2023). Enhancing Brain Tumor Classification with Data Augmentation and DenseNet121. *Academic Journal of Nawroz University*, *12*(4), 323-334.

[45] Biswas, A., Bhattacharya, P., Maity, S. P., & Banik, R. (2023). Data augmentation for improved brain tumor segmentation. *IETE Journal of Research*, *69*(5), 2772-2782.

[46] Mzoughi, H., Njeh, I., Ben Slima, M., Ben Hamida, A., Mhiri, C., & Ben Mahfoudh, K. (2019). Denoising and contrast-enhancement approach of magnetic resonance imaging glioblastoma brain tumors. *Journal of Medical Imaging*, *6*(4), 044002-044002.

[47] Abin, D., Thepade, S., Vibhute, Y., Pargaonkar, S., Kolase, V., & Chougule, P. (2022, May). Brain Tumor Image Enhancement Using Blending of Contrast Enhancement Techniques. In *International Conference on Image Processing and Capsule Networks* (pp. 736-747). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[48] Sarkar, A., Santiago, R. J., Smith, R., & Kassaee, A. (2005). Comparison of manual vs. automated multimodality (CT-MRI) image registration for brain tumors. *Medical Dosimetry*, *30*(1), 20-24.

[49] Baraiya, N., & Modi, H. (2016). Comparative study of different methods for brain tumor extraction from MRI images using image processing. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, *9*(4), 1-5.

[50] Sharif, M., Tanvir, U., Munir, E. U., Khan, M. A., & Yasmin, M. (2024). Brain tumor segmentation and classification by improved binomial thresholding and multi-features selection. *Journal of ambient intelligence and humanized computing*, 1-20.

[51] Husham, S., Mustapha, A., Mostafa, S. A., Al-Obaidi, M. K., Mohammed, M. A., Abdulmaged, A. I., & George, S. T. (2020). Comparative analysis between active contour and otsu thresholding segmentation algorithms in segmenting brain tumor magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of Information Technology Management*, *12*(Special Issue: Deep Learning for Visual Information Analytics and Management.), 48-61.

[52] Borole, V. Y., Nimbhore, S. S., & Kawthekar, D. S. S. (2015). Image processing techniques for brain tumor detection: A review. *International Journal of Emerging Trends & Technology in Computer Science (IJETTCS)*, *4*(5), 2.

[53] Aslam, A., Khan, E., & Beg, M. S. (2015). Improved edge detection algorithm for brain tumor segmentation. *Procedia Computer Science*, *58*, 430-437.

[54] Hazra, A., Dey, A., Gupta, S. K., & Ansari, M. A. (2017, August). Brain tumor detection based on segmentation using MATLAB. In *2017 International Conference on Energy, Communication, Data Analytics and Soft Computing (ICECDS)* (pp. 425-430). IEEE.

[55] Biratu, E. S., Schwenker, F., Debelee, T. G., Kebede, S. R., Negera, W. G., & Molla, H. T. (2021). Enhanced region growing for brain tumor MR image segmentation. *Journal of Imaging*, *7*(2), 22.

[56] Viji, K. A., & Jayakumari, J. (2011, July). Automatic detection of brain tumor based on magnetic resonance image using CAD System with watershed segmentation. In *2011 international conference on signal processing, communication, computing and networking technologies* (pp. 145-150). IEEE.

[57] Mandle, A. K., Sahu, S. P., & Gupta, G. (2022). Brain tumor segmentation and classification in MRI using clustering and kernel-based SVM. *Biomedical and Pharmacology Journal*, *15*(2), 699-716.

[58] Abdel-Maksoud, E., Elmogy, M., & Al-Awadi, R. (2015). Brain tumor segmentation based on a hybrid clustering technique. *Egyptian Informatics Journal*, *16*(1), 71-81.

[59] Cinar, N., Ozcan, A., & Kaya, M. (2022). A hybrid DenseNet121-UNet model for brain tumor segmentation from MR Images. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, *76*, 103647.

[60] Alqazzaz, S., Sun, X., Yang, X., & Nokes, L. (2019). Automated brain tumor segmentation on multi-modal MR image using SegNet. *Computational visual media*, *5*, 209-219.

[61] Kaldera, H. N. T. K., Gunasekara, S. R., & Dissanayake, M. B. (2019, March). Brain tumor classification and segmentation using faster R-CNN. In *2019 Advances in Science and Engineering Technology International Conferences (ASET)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

[62] Kesav, N., & Jibukumar, M. G. (2022). Efficient and low complex architecture for detection and classification of Brain Tumor using RCNN with Two Channel CNN. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, *34*(8), 6229-6242.

[63] Mzoughi, H., Njeh, I., Wali, A., Slima, M. B., BenHamida, A., Mhiri, C., & Mahfoudhe, K. B. (2020). Deep multi-scale 3D convolutional neural network (CNN) for MRI gliomas brain tumor classification. *Journal of Digital Imaging*, *33*, 903-915.

[64] Jesson, A., & Arbel, T. (2017, September). Brain tumor segmentation using a 3D FCN with multi-scale loss. In *International MICCAI Brainlesion Workshop* (pp. 392-402). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

[65] Choudhury, C. L., Mahanty, C., Kumar, R., & Mishra, B. K. (2020, March). Brain tumor detection and classification using convolutional neural network and deep neural network. In *2020 international conference on computer science, engineering and applications (ICCSEA)* (pp. 1-4). IEEE.

[66] Lamrani, D., Cherradi, B., El Gannour, O., Bouqentar, M. A., & Bahatti, L. (2022). Brain tumor detection using mri images and convolutional neural network. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, *13*(7).

[67] Badža, M. M., & Barjaktarović, M. Č. (2020). Classification of brain tumors from MRI images using a convolutional neural network. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(6), 1999.